

FOUNDER EDITOR

U.K. BHARGAVA

EDITOR

RAKESH BHARGAVA

HON'Y. COORDINATING EDITORS

**DR. VINOD K. SINGHANIA
AND VINAY JAIN**

Taxman weekly comes in Eight volumes.

Annual Subscription : Rs. 8900 for Eight volumes for the year 2017. Single copy is Rs. 200 only.

Back years' volume Rs. 1000 per volume for paper back and add Rs. 100 per volume for Hard case binding.

Taxman weekly is published on every Saturday. NON-RECEIPT OF PART MUST BE NOTIFIED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE DUE DATE.

Editor does not necessarily agree with the views expressed in magazine section of Taxman weekly.

Material published in this part is the exclusive copyrighted property of Taxman and cannot be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means without written permission of publisher.

This publication is sold with the understanding that authors/editors and publishers are not responsible for the result of any action taken on the basis of this work nor for any error or omission to any person, whether a purchaser of this publication or not. All disputes are subject to jurisdiction of the Delhi High Court.

Address your editorial and subscription correspondence to **Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd., 59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110005**

Printed and Published by **Amit Bhargava** on behalf of **Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd.** and Printed at **Tan Prints (India) Pvt. Ltd., 44 Km. Mile Stone, National Highway, Rohtak Road, Village Rohad, Distt. Jhajjar (Haryana)** and Published at **59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110 005**

Editor : **Rakesh Bhargava**

Phone : 91-11-45562222

Fax : 91-11-45577111

Email:sales@taxmann.com

ISSN : 0972-8198

MODE OF CITATION

[2017] 245 Taxman...(...)

TOTAL PAGES [128]

C O N T E N T S

STATUTES

□ CIRCULARS

- Section 279 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Offences and Prosecution - To be at Commissioner's instance - Modifications to Instruction No. 6/2016, dated 7-9-2016 - LETTER F.NO. 279/MISC./M-77/2011-ITJ, DATED 20-2-2017 142
- Pradhan Mantri Garib Kalyan Deposit Scheme (PMGKDS), 2016 - Government decides to allow declarants to make deposits on one or more occasions in said scheme - PRESS RELEASE, DATED 7-2-2017 146

□ NOTIFICATION

- Section 90 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Double Taxation Agreement - Agreement for avoidance of double taxation and for prevention of fiscal evasion with foreign countries - Israel - Amendment in Notification No. GSR 256(E), dated 26-6-1996 - NOTIFICATION NO. SO 441(E) [NO.10/2017 (F.NO.500/14/2004-FTD-II)], DATED 14-2-2017 142

□ RULES/AMENDMENT RULES

- Income-tax (Second Amendment) Rules, 2017 - Amendment in Rules 114 and 114A - NOTIFICATION NO. GSR 117(E) [NO.9/2017 (F.NO.370142/40/2016-TPL)], DATED 9-2-2017 145

TAX REPORTS : TABLE OF CASES

- ◆ CIT v. ZTE Corporation (Delhi) 252
- ◆ Common Cause (A Registered Society) v. Union of India (SC) 214
- ◆ Embassy Development Corpn. v. Asstt. CIT (SC) 207
- ◆ Pr. CIT v. Phonenix Datatech Services (P.) Ltd. (Delhi) 209
- ◆ Pr. CIT v. Quark Media House India (P.) Ltd. (Punj. & Har.) 226
- ◆ Tamil Nadu Tourism Development Corpn. Ltd. v. Dy. CIT (SC) 206
- ◆ V.G.P. Housing (P.) Ltd. v. Asstt. CIT (Mad.) 199

SUBJECT INDEX

BUSINESS INCOME

■ Chargeable as

- *Franchisee fee* - SLP granted against High Court's ruling that where assessee had leased out its business assets and gave a special right or privilege to franchisees to undertake a particular business in property of assessee on receipt of franchisee fee, income as franchisee fee was clearly in nature of business income - *Tamil Nadu Tourism Development Corpn. Ltd. v. Dy. CIT (SC)* **206**

CAPITAL GAINS

■ Computation of

- *Full value of consideration* - For purpose of computing capital gain, full value of consideration is neither market value nor necessarily price stated in document for sale but price actually arrived at between parties to transaction - *Pr. CIT v. Quark Media House India (P.) Ltd. (Punj. & Har.)* **226**

CIRCULARS AND NOTIFICATIONS

- Circular No. 550, dated 1-1-1999 **200**

INCOME

■ Deemed to accrue or arise in India

- *Royalties and fee for technical services* - Where assessee, a China based company, sold telecom equipments *i.e.* mobile handsets to various customers in India, since supply of software embedded in telecom equipment enabled use of hardware sold, it resulted in a case of sale of copyrighted article and, thus, payment made towards supply of software was not taxable in India as royalty - *CIT v. ZTE Corporation (Delhi)* **252**

INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961

- Section 9 **253**
- Section 28(i) **207**
- Section 36(1)(iii) **208**
- Section 48 **226**
- Section 69A **209, 214**
- Section 115JA **199**

INTEREST ON BORROWED CAPITAL

- *For the purpose of business* - SLP granted against High Court's ruling that where assessee-builder advanced borrowed amount to its sister concern for purpose of acquiring a portion of property in project proposed to be developed by its sister concern and said amount was not utilised for said project but it was used for some other project, assessee was not entitled for deduction of interest paid on borrowed amount - *Embassy Development Corpn. v. Asstt. CIT (SC)* **207**

MINIMUM ALTERNATE TAX

- *Conditions precedent* - Where in earlier year, assessee claimed excess depreciation and made a provision towards land development and in current year, these were written back/withdrawn, in computation of book profit under MAT provisions, downward adjustment would be allowed only if book profit of earlier year was increased by amounts credited to profit and loss account - *V.G.P. Housing (P.) Ltd. v. Asstt. CIT (Mad.)* **199**

UNEXPLAINED MONEY

- *Applicability of* - Where certain addition was made to assessee's income on basis of a chart recovered from search proceedings carried out in case of third party, since assessee was neither a searched party nor a party receiving notice under section 153C, evidentiary value of chart recovered could not be regarded as conclusive and, thus, impugned addition was to be set aside - *Pr. CIT v. Phonenix Datatech Services (P.) Ltd.* (Delhi) **209**
- *Loose papers* - Investigation could not have been directed in case of high public functionaries on basis of legally inadmissible evidence in form of loose papers - *Common Cause (A Registered Society) v. Union of India (SC)* **214**

