

FOUNDER EDITOR

U.K. BHARGAVA

EDITOR

RAKESH BHARGAVA

HON'Y. COORDINATING EDITORS

**DR. VINOD K. SINGHANIA
AND VINAY JAIN**

Taxman weekly comes in Eight volumes.

Annual Subscription : Rs. 8900 for Eight volumes for the year 2017. Single copy is Rs. 200 only.

Back years' volume Rs. 1000 per volume for paper back and add Rs. 100 per volume for Hard case binding.

Taxman weekly is published on every Saturday. NON-RECEIPT OF PART MUST BE NOTIFIED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE DUE DATE.

Editor does not necessarily agree with the views expressed in magazine section of Taxman weekly.

Material published in this part is the exclusive copyrighted property of Taxman and cannot be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means without written permission of publisher.

This publication is sold with the understanding that authors/editors and publishers are not responsible for the result of any action taken on the basis of this work nor for any error or omission to any person, whether a purchaser of this publication or not. All disputes are subject to jurisdiction of the Delhi High Court.

Address your editorial and subscription correspondence to **Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd., 59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110005**

Printed and Published by **Amit Bhargava** on behalf of **Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd.** and Printed at **Tan Prints (India) Pvt. Ltd., 44 Km. Mile Stone, National Highway, Rohtak Road, Village Rohad, Distt. Jhajjar (Haryana)** and Published at **59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110 005**

Editor : Rakesh Bhargava

Phone : 91-11-45562222

Fax : 91-11-45577111

Email:sales@taxmann.com

ISSN : 0972-8198

MODE OF CITATION

[2017] 246 Taxman...(...)

TOTAL PAGES [140]

C O N T E N T S

STATUTES

□ CIRCULARS

- Section 145 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - System of Accounting - Method of Accounting - Clarifications on Income Computation and Disclosure Standards (ICDS) notified under section 145(2) of said Act - CIRCULAR NO.10/2017 [F.NO.133/23/2016-TPL], DATED 23-3-2017 126
- Section 201, read with section 119, of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Tax deduction at source - Consequences of failure to deduct or pay - Guidelines for waiver of interest charged under section 201(1a)(i) of said Act - CIRCULAR NO.11/2016 [F.NO.275/56/2016-IT(B)], DATED 24-3-2017 134

□ NOTIFICATIONS

- Section 90 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Double Taxation Agreement - Agreement for avoidance of double taxation and prevention of fiscal evasion with foreign countries - Singapore - Amendment in Notification No. GSR 610(E), dated 8-8-1994 - NOTIFICATION NO. SO 935(E) [NO.18/2017 (500/139/2002-FTD-II)], DATED 23-3-2017 135
- Section 35AC of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Eligible Projects or Schemes, Expenditure on - Notified Eligible Projects or Schemes - NOTIFICATION NO. SO 906(E) [NO.5/2017 (F.NO.V.27015/1/2017-SO(NAT.COM))], DATED 21-3-2017 140
- Section 120 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Income-tax Authorities - Jurisdiction of - Amendment in Notification No. SO 2483(E) [No.75/2009 (F. No.142/18/2009-TPL)], dated 30-9-2009 - NOTIFICATION NO. SO 928(E) [NO.16/2017/(F.NO.187/3/2017-ITA-I)], DATED 22-3-2017 149
- Section 35AC of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Eligible projects or schemes, expenditure on - Notified eligible projects or schemes - Corrigendum to Notification No. SO 3285(E) [No. 65/2016 (F.No.V.27015/5/2016-SO (NAT.COM))], dated 24-10-2016 - NOTIFICATION NO. SO 932(E) [NO.6/2017 (F.NO.27015/5/2016-SO (NAT.COM))], DATED 22-3-2017 150

□ RULES/AMENDMENT RULES

- Income-tax (Third Amendment) Rules, 2017 - Amendment in rules 6DDB & 6DDD and insertion of rule 127A - NOTIFICATION NO. GSR 283(E) [NO.17/2017 (F.NO.370142/4/2017-TPL)], DATED 23-3-2017 139

TAX REPORTS : TABLE OF CASES

- ◆ CIT v. Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd. (Bom.) **202**
- ◆ CIT v. India Advantage Fund-VII (Kar.) **149**
- ◆ CIT v. Lever India Exports Ltd. (Bom.) **133**
- ◆ CIT v. Seaprincess Hotels & Properties (P.) Ltd. (Bom.) **173**
- ◆ Council of the ICAI v. CA G.S. Johar (Delhi) **156**
- ◆ Council of the ICAI v. Kailash Chander Agarwal (Delhi) **165**
- ◆ Devi Kamal Trust Estate v. DIT (Exemption) (Cal.) **196**
- ◆ Micro Inks (P.) Ltd. v. Asstt. CIT (Guj.) **143**
- ◆ Pr. CIT v. A2Z Maintenance & Engg. Services Ltd. (Delhi) **193**
- ◆ Pr. CIT v. National Insurance Co. Ltd. (Cal.) **176**
- ◆ Pr. CIT v. Sri Bharathi Warehousing Corpn. (AP) **137**
- ◆ Pr. CIT v. Surat Textile Mills Ltd. (Guj.) **206**
- ◆ Sri Selvamuthukumar v. CIT (Mad.) **185**
- ◆ Vishal Jain v. State of Punjab (Pun. & Har.) **213**

SUBJECT INDEX

BUSINESS EXPENDITURE

■ Allowability of

- *Interest* - Where expenditure incurred towards interest and finance charges on loan was treated as business expenditure after scrutiny, and, since there was no failure on part of assessee in disclosing true and correct facts, re-opening assessment beyond period of four years was unjustified - *Micro Inks (P.) Ltd. v. Asstt. CIT (Guj.)* **143**
- *Repairs* - Where assessee-hotel, during period of construction of additional floors, paid certain amount to interior designer to plan a temporary access and exit from hotel, since said expenditure was necessary for carrying on business activity, it was allowable as deduction under section 37(1) - *CIT v. Seaprincess Hotels & Properties (P.) Ltd. (Bom.)* **173**
- *Buy-back of shares* - Expenses incurred by assessee on buy-back of shares was to be allowed as revenue expenditure - *CIT v. Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd. (Bom.)* **202**
- *Debentures, expenses for* - Premium paid on pre-redemption of debentures was to be allowed as revenue expenditure - *CIT v. Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd. (Bom.)* **202**
- *Technical know-how* - Expenditure incurred by assessee on technical and marketing know-how, was to be allowed as revenue expenditure - *CIT v. Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd. (Bom.)* **202**

BUSINESS INCOME

■ Chargeable as

- *Rental income* - Where construction of different buildings and leasing them out was main business activity of assessee firm while other activities were only optional, assessee-firm was right in showing rental income - *Pr. CIT v. Sri Bharathi Warehousing Corpn. (AP)* **137**

CHARITABLE OR RELIGIOUS TRUST

■ Denial of exemption

- *Sub-section (1)(c)* - Where assessee, a charitable trust, made repayment of loan to its

trustee, Assessing Officer without bringing any relevant material on record, could not draw an adverse inference that it was a case of transfer of funds to trustee in violation of provisions of section 13(1)(c) - *Devi Kamal Trust Estate v. DIT (Exemption)* (Cal.) **196**

CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS ACT, 1949

■ Professional and other misconduct defined

- Where respondent-Chartered Accountant was statutory auditor of company but failed to discharge its duty to enquire whether transactions which were merely book entries were prejudicial to interest of company, respondent was guilty of professional misconduct and his name was to be removed from register of member of ICAI for a period of 5 years - *Council of the ICAI v. CA G.S. Johar* (Delhi) **156**
- Where respondent Chartered Accountant did not crosscheck certificates issued by him, for listing of its shares for trading in stock exchange, with statement of account issued by bank to said company, respondent was to be held guilty of misconduct - *Council of the ICAI v. Kailash Chander Agarwal* (Delhi) **165**

CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS ACT, 1949

- Section 22 **157, 165**

CIRCULARS AND NOTIFICATIONS

- Circular No. 528, dated 16-12-1988 **177, 185**
- Circular No. 43 of 2016, dated 27-12-2016 **213**

DEPRECIATION

■ Allowance/Rate of

- *Goodwill* - Assessee's claim for depreciation on goodwill was to be allowed - *CIT v. Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd.* (Bom.) **202**

FINANCE ACT, 2016

■ PMGKY

- *Declaration of undisclosed income* - Where person from whom cash was seized during demonetization of 2016 and he was not tried under any provision of law, he would be eligible to deposit amount in PMGKY Deposit Scheme on or before 30-3-2007 - *Vishal Jain v. State of Punjab* (Pun. & Har.) **213**

FINANCE ACT, 2016

- Section 199C **213**

INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961

- Section 13 **196**
- Section 28(i) **137**
- Section 32 **203**
- Section 37(1) **144, 173, 203**
- Section 44 **177**
- Section 92C **133**
- Section 115JB **206**
- Section 145 **193**
- Section 164 **149**
- Section 264 **185**

INSURANCE BUSINESS

- *Computation of total income* - In view of deletion of sub-clause (b) of rule 5 of Part B to First Schedule to Act by Finance Act, 1988, Assessing Officer for relevant assessment year did not have power to add back profit arising out of sale of investments to total income of assessee - *Pr. CIT v. National Insurance Co. Ltd.* (Cal.) **176**

METHOD OF ACCOUNTING**■ System of accounting**

- *Change of method of accounting/AS-7* - Where in case of assessee, engaged in construction business, Commissioner passed a revisional order alleging that certain amount was shown as deferred revenue income by changing method of accounting as per Accounting Standard (AS) - 7 which had resulted in lowering of profit, since it was an accepted method of accounting and, moreover, it had been followed consistently in subsequent years also, impugned revisional order was to be set aside - *Pr. CIT v. A2Z Maintenance & Engg. Services Ltd.* (Delhi) **193**

MINIMUM ALTERNATE TAX

- *Computation of book profits* - While computing book profits, assessee's claim for deduction of accumulated unabsorbed depreciation of earlier years was to be allowed - *Pr. CIT v. Surat Textile Mills Ltd.* (Guj.) **206**

REVISION**■ Of other orders**

- *Scope of* - Section 264 provides ample power to Commissioner to make or cause such inquiry to be made as he thinks fit in dealing with an application and, it would include taking into consideration relevant material which cannot be limited to return of income or order of assessment but extends to include information from any other source that would impact issue in question - *Sri Selvamuthukumar v. CIT* (Mad.) **185**

TRANSFER PRICING**■ Computation of arm's length price**

- *Comparables and adjustments/Adjustment - General* - It is not part of TPO's jurisdiction to consider whether or not expenditure which incurred by assessee has passed test of section 37 - *CIT v. Lever India Exports Ltd.* (Bom.) **133**

TRUST/TRUSTEES**■ Charge of tax where shares of beneficiaries unknown**

- *Illustrations* - Once trust deed provided that benefits amongst beneficiaries were to be shared proportionate to their investments, shares of beneficiaries were determinable - *CIT v. India Advantage Fund-VII* (Kar.) **149**

WORDS AND PHRASES

- Word 'record' as occurring in section 264 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 **185**

MAGAZINE : FEATURES

- ◆ **Key changes in new ITR Forms for AY 2017-18** 9
- ◆ **New ITR Forms for AY 2017-18: Which ITR Form you should opt for?** 13