

FOUNDER EDITOR

**U.K. BHARGAVA**

EDITOR

**RAKESH BHARGAVA**

HONY. CONSULTING EDITOR

**R.N. BANSAL**

SEBI & Corporate Laws comes in Six Volumes, Annual subscription for the year 2019 is ₹ 10500. Single copy ₹ 300 only.

**Back years' volume ₹ 1300 per volume for paper back and add ₹ 100 per volume for Hard case binding.**

SEBI & Corporate Laws Weekly is published on every Monday. **Non-receipt of part must be notified within 60 days of the due date.**

Address your editorial and subscription correspondence to: **Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd.**, 59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110 005. Phone : 91-11-45562222 .

**Printed and Published by Ansh Bhargava on behalf of Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd. and Printed at Tan Prints (India) Pvt. Ltd., 44 Km. Mile Stone, National Highway, Rohtak Road, Village Rohad, Distt. Jhajjar (Haryana) and Published at 59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110 005 - Editor : Rakesh Bhargava**

Material published in this part is the exclusive copyrighted property of Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd. and cannot be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means without written permission of the Publisher.

**Taxmann and its Editors do not necessarily agree with the views expressed in Magazine Section of SEBI & Corporate Laws. Views so expressed are the personal views of author(s).**

This publication is sold with the understanding that authors/editors and publishers are not responsible for the result of any action taken on the basis of this work nor for any error or omission to any person, whether a purchaser of this publication or not. All disputes are subject to jurisdiction of the Delhi High Court.

Email: [sales@taxmann.com](mailto:sales@taxmann.com)

Website: <http://www.taxmann.com>

ISSN : 0972-818X

MODE OF CITATION [2019] 154 SCL... (..)

TOTAL PAGES [116]

# C O N T E N T S

## STATUTES

### NOTIFICATIONS

- ◆ Section 435 of the Companies Act, 2013 - Special Courts - Establishment of - Notified Special Court in State of Maharashtra for purposes of providing speedy trial of offences punishable with imprisonment of two years or more under section 435(1) - NOTIFICATION NO. S.O. 2564(E) [F.NO. 01/12/2009-CL-I (VOLIV)], DATED 17-7-2019 50
- ◆ Section 26A of the Securities & Exchange Board of India Act, 1992, read with section 26A of the Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956 and section 22C of the Depositories Act, 1996 - Special Courts - Establishment of - Notified Special Court - NOTIFICATION NO. S.O. 2569(E) [F.NO. 10/49/2017 (PART)], DATED 17-7-2019 51
- ◆ Section 26A of the Securities & Exchange Board of India Act, 1992, read with section 26A of the Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956 and section 22C of the Depositories Act, 1996 - Special Courts - Establishment of - Notified Special Court - Supersession of Notification No.S.O.1060(E), dated 21-4-2015 and Notification No.S.O.1618(E), dated 17-6-2015 - NOTIFICATION NO. S.O. 2570(E) [F.NO. 10/49/2017 (PART)], DATED 17-7-2019 52

### RULES/AMENDMENT RULES

- ◆ Foreign Exchange Management (Deposit) (Amendment) Regulations, 2019 - Amendment in regulation 6 - NOTIFICATION NO. G.S.R.498(E) [NO. FEMA 5(R) 2/2019-RB (F.NO.1/31/EM/2015)], DATED 16-7-2019 50
- ◆ Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Engagement of Research Associates and Consultants) (Amendment) Regulations, 2019 - Substitution of Schedule-I - NOTIFICATION NO. IBBI/2019-20/GN/REG041, DATED 23-7-2019 41
- ◆ Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Procedure for Governing Board Meetings) (Amendment) Regulations, 2019 - Amendment in Regulation 5 - NOTIFICATION NO. IBBI/2019-20/GN/REG042, DATED 23-7-2019 43

- ◆ Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Professional Agencies) (Amendment) Regulations, 2019 - Amendment in regulations 5 - NOTIFICATION NO. IBBI/2019-20/GN/REG044, DATED 23-7-2019 47
- ◆ Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Professional) (Amendment) Regulations, 2019 - Amendment in regulations 2, 10, 11 & First Schedule; substitution of Chapter heading IV and insertion of regulation 7 - NOTIFICATION NO. IBBI/2019-20/GN/REG045, DATED 23-7-2019 48
- ◆ Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Model Bye-Laws and Governing Board of Insolvency Professional Agencies) (Amendment) Regulations, 2019 - Amendment in regulation 5 - NOTIFICATION NO. IBBI/2019-20/GN/REG043, DATED 23-7-2019 43
- ◆ Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (Unit Linked Insurance Products) Regulations, 2019 - NOTIFICATION F.NO. IRDA/REG/7/158/2019, DATED 8-7-2019 52

## **REPORTS : TABLE OF CASES**

- ◆ Ashish Choudhery v. Unipik Automation Solutions (NCL-AT) 413
- ◆ Asset Reconstruction Company (India) Ltd. v. Shamken Multifab Ltd. (NCLT - All.) (Mag.) 76
- ◆ Khanna & Khanna Ltd. v. Raj Rayon Industries Ltd. (NCLT - Ahd.) (Mag.) 74
- ◆ Mukesh Goel v. Goel Entrade (P.) Ltd. (NCL-AT) 443
- ◆ Rajan Gupta v. Ashok Kumar Sharma (NCL-AT) 437
- ◆ Sanjeev Azad v. Punjab National Bank (NCL-AT) (Mag.) 73
- ◆ State Bank of India v. Bhatia Coke and Energy Ltd. (NCLT - Chennai) (Mag.) 75
- ◆ Sunil Kumar Jain v. Sundaresh Bhatt (NCL-AT) 417
- ◆ Sunil Ratnaparkhi v. Official Liquidator of Satwik Electric Controls (P.) Ltd. (Bom.) 446
- ◆ Vyomit Shares Stock & Investments (P.) Ltd. v. Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) (NCL-AT) 441

## **SUBJECT INDEX : CASES REPORTED**

### **COMPANIES ACT, 2013/COMPANIES ACT, 1956**

#### **■ Appellate Tribunal**

##### APPEAL FROM ORDERS OF

- Where NCLT ordered to maintain 'status quo' in respect of assets, shareholdings and composition of board of respondent company but said order was never communicated to respondent, NCLT had rightly refused to initiate contempt proceedings against respondent - *Mukesh Goel v. Goel Entrade (P.) Ltd.* (NCL-AT) 443

#### **■ Charges**

##### REGISTRATION OF

- Where charge created had not been registered by applicant prior to winding up order of company, applicant could not be considered as a secured creditor - *Sunil Ratnaparkhi v. Official Liquidator of Satwik Electric Controls (P.) Ltd.* (Bom.) 446

### **COMPANIES ACT, 2013/COMPANIES ACT, 1956**

- Section 77/125 446
- Section 421 443

**INSOLVENCY AND BANKRUPTCY CODE, 2016****■ Claims**

- Where workmen of corporate debtor had alleged that Adjudicating Authority had failed to decide their claims, since in respect of corporate debtor an order of liquidation had already been passed, that apart, disputed question of fact, as to whether those workmen had actually worked during CIRP or earlier period, could not be dealt with by Adjudicating Authority, impugned order passed by Adjudicating Authority could not be interfered with - *Sunil Kumar Jain v. Sundaresh Bhatt* (NCL-AT) **417**

**■ Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process**

## APPLICATION BY OPERATIONAL CREDITOR

- Where corporate debtor admitted its liability to clear overdue payment and application under section 9 to initiate CIRP against corporate debtor was complete in all respect, said application was to be admitted - *Khanna & Khanna Ltd. v. Raj Rayon Industries Ltd.* (NCLT - Ahd.) (Mag.) **74**

## INITIATION BY CORPORATE APPLICANT

- Where corporate debtor was generating profit, it could not declare itself eligible for filing an application under section 10 - *Vyomit Shares Stock & Investments (P.) Ltd. v. Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI)* (NCL-AT) **441**

## INITIATION BY FINANCIAL CREDITOR

- Where there was sufficient record shown in Part IV and Part V of Form I of Rule 4 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy (Application to Adjudicating Authority) Rules, 2016 on basis of which Adjudicating Authority was satisfied that Form-1 was complete, corporate insolvency application against corporate debtor had rightly been admitted - *Sanjeev Azad v. Punjab National Bank* (NCL-AT) (Mag.) **73**
- Where corporate debtor defaulted in repayment of loan availed under joint working consortium agreement and offered for one time settlement but said settlement was not accepted by financial creditors, CIRP was to be admitted - *State Bank of India v. Bhatia Coke and Energy Ltd.* (NCLT - Chennai) (Mag.) **75**

## RESOLUTION PLAN - APPROVAL OF

- Where livelihood of approximately 200 employees of corporate debtor was at stake, RP was directed to examine resolution plan of resolution applicant, submitted on 268th day from date of moratorium - *Asset Reconstruction Company (India) Ltd. v. Shamken Multifab Ltd.* (NCLT - All.) (Mag.) **76**

## WITHDRAWAL OF APPLICATION

- Where parties had settled matter prior to constitution of CoC, application filed under section 9 was to be dismissed as withdrawn - *Ashish Choudhery v. Unipik Automation Solutions* (NCL-AT) **413**
- Where parties had reached settlement much prior to constitution of 'Committee of Creditors', application filed by operational creditor under section 9 was to be dismissed as withdrawn - *Rajan Gupta v. Ashok Kumar Sharma* (NCL-AT) **437**

**INSOLVENCY AND BANKRUPTCY CODE, 2016**

- Section 3(6) **417**
- Section 7 **73, 75**

- Section 9 **74**
- Section 10 **441**
- Section 12A **413, 438**
- Section 31 **76**

## **MAGAZINE**

- ◆ Dutch Order on Jet Airways a nullity *ab-initio*: UNCITRAL ML the need of the hour?//  
Syamantak Sen & Vivek Badkur **71**