

# CONTENTS

PAGE

## **1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

<b>1.1</b>	Background	<b>1</b>
<b>1.2</b>	Significance of Permanent Establishment	<b>2</b>
<b>1.3</b>	The scheme of permanent establishment (PE) in bilateral tax treaties	<b>2</b>
<b>1.3.1</b>	The framework of Article 5 in a typical bilateral tax treaty	<b>2</b>
<b>1.3.2</b>	Fixed Place PE	<b>2</b>
<b>1.3.3</b>	Illustrations of Fixed Place PE	<b>2</b>
<b>1.3.4</b>	Construction PE and Service PE	<b>3</b>
<b>1.3.5</b>	PE-Exclusion Provisions (Negative List)	<b>3</b>
<b>1.3.6</b>	Dependent Agency PE	<b>3</b>
<b>1.3.7</b>	PE and Related Entities	<b>4</b>

## **2**

### **FIXED PLACE PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT [ARTICLE 5(1)]**

<b>2.1</b>	Introduction	<b>5</b>
<b>2.2</b>	Fixed Place PE Definition in the OECD MC 2010 and UN MC 2011	<b>5</b>
<b>2.3</b>	The Three Macro Elements of Fixed Place PE	<b>6</b>
<b>2.4</b>	Place of Business - Four Key Features	<b>6</b>
<b>2.4.1</b>	Place of Business - Feature 1 : Generally, any premises, facilities, or installation etc.	<b>7</b>
<b>2.4.2</b>	Place of Business - Feature 2 : Premises etc. need not be for the foreign enterprise's exclusive use	<b>29</b>

## CONTENTS

**I-6**

	PAGE
<b>2.4.3</b> Place of Business - Feature 3 : May be owned, rented, or otherwise at the foreign enterprise's disposal	31
<b>2.4.4</b> Place of Business - Feature 4 : For existence of a fixed place PE, human element may not be relevant (the place of business may not be staffed with personnel)	48
<b>2.5</b> "Fixedness" of a place of business	53
<b>2.5.1</b> Geographic Link or Location Test	54
<b>2.5.2</b> The Principle of 'Spatial Delimitation'(Or Modified Location Test)	58
<b>2.5.3</b> Duration Test	63
<b>2.5.3.1</b> A place of business may not be regarded as "fixed", if it exists for very short duration	63
<b>2.5.3.2</b> Meaning of "permanent" for the purposes of duration test	67
<b>2.5.3.3</b> Six-month time threshold	70
<b>2.5.3.4</b> Occasionally, 12-month period considered as time threshold for existence of a fixed place PE	75
<b>2.5.3.5</b> Occasionally, duration shorter than 6 months might be sufficient for existence of a fixed place PE	77
<b>2.5.4</b> Fixedness - Disposal Test	84
<b>2.5.4.1</b> Disposal Test - Formal legal right not required	85
<b>2.5.4.2</b> Disposal Test - Mere presence not adequate	100
<b>2.6</b> Functionality Aspects	122
<b>2.6.1</b> Functionality Aspects - Condition 1 : The enterprise must carry on business through the fixed place of business	123
<b>2.6.2</b> Functionality Aspects - Condition 2 : Whose Business is carried on at the fixed place of business?	135
<b>2.6.3</b> Functionality Aspects - Condition 3 : The place of business must be used for the foreign enterprise's core business activities	167
<b>2.7</b> Start and End of Fixed Place PE	176
<b>2.8</b> Conclusions	179

**3****PE ILLUSTRATIVE PROVISIONS  
[ARTICLE 5(2)]**

<b>3.1</b>	Introduction	<b>181</b>
<b>3.2</b>	Art. 5(2) in the OECD MC 2010 and the UN MC 2011	<b>181</b>
<b>3.3</b>	Relationship between Art. 5(1) and Art. 5(2)	<b>182</b>
<b>3.4</b>	Place of Management	<b>188</b>
<b>3.5</b>	Branch	<b>194</b>
<b>3.6</b>	Office	<b>196</b>
<b>3.7</b>	Factory	<b>198</b>
<b>3.8</b>	A mine, an oil or gas well, a quarry or any other place of extraction of natural resources	<b>199</b>
<b>3.9</b>	Warehouse	<b>200</b>
<b>3.10</b>	Art. 5(2) <i>vis-à-vis</i> an installation/structure for exploration/exploitation of natural resources	<b>202</b>
<b>3.11</b>	Conclusions	<b>204</b>

**4****CONSTRUCTION PE AND SERVICE PE -  
[ARTICLE 5(3)]**

<b>4.1</b>	Introduction	<b>205</b>
<b>4.2</b>	Art. 5(3) in the OECD Model Convention 2010 and the UN Model Convention 2011	<b>206</b>
<b>4.3</b>	Scope and intricacies of construction PE clauses in the OECD MC 2010 and the 2011	<b>206</b>
<b>4.4</b>	Building Site, Construction or Installation Project	<b>208</b>
<b>4.5</b>	Multiple Projects and Construction PE Threshold : Stand-alone or Aggregate Approach?	<b>211</b>
<b>4.6</b>	Project Duration : Commencement, Conclusion and Interruptions	<b>228</b>
<b>4.7</b>	Spatial De-limitation	<b>238</b>
<b>4.8</b>	Art. 5(3) <i>versus</i> Art. 5(1)	<b>238</b>
<b>4.9</b>	Art. 5(3) <i>versus</i> Art. 5(2)	<b>247</b>
<b>4.10</b>	Construction PE and Pre-PE Offshore Activities	<b>253</b>
<b>4.11</b>	Sub-contractor - Implications to Main Contractor	<b>256</b>
<b>4.12</b>	Project Office and Construction PE	<b>260</b>
<b>4.13</b>	Deputation of Personnel to Construction Projects	<b>262</b>
<b>4.14</b>	Passive Joint Venture & Construction PE	<b>264</b>

## CONTENTS

**I-8**

	PAGE
<b>4.15</b> Planning & Supervision	265
<b>4.16</b> Service PE	268
<b>4.17</b> Conclusions	282
<b>Annex 4.1</b> Construction PE and service PE provisions in select tax treaties	284

## **5**

### **PE EXCLUSION [ARTICLE 5(4)]**

<b>5.1</b> Introduction	300
<b>5.2</b> Article 5(4) in the OECD MC and the UN MC	300
<b>5.3</b> Scope of Article 5(4)	301
<b>5.4</b> The central principle underlying Article 5(4)	302
<b>5.5</b> Preparatory or auxiliary activities <i>versus</i> core business activities	302
<b>5.6</b> Fixed Place of Business for serving other entities	308
<b>5.7</b> Article 5(4)(a) : Use of facilities solely for the purpose of storage, display or delivery of goods or merchandise belonging to the enterprise	310
<b>5.8</b> Article 5(4)(b) : The maintenance of stock of goods or merchandise belonging to the enterprise solely for the purpose of storage, display or delivery	321
<b>5.9</b> Article 5(4)(c) : Maintenance of a stock of goods or merchandise belonging to the enterprise solely for the purpose of processing by another enterprise	329
<b>5.10</b> Article 5(4)(d) : The maintenance of a fixed place of business solely for the purpose of purchasing goods or merchandise (or for the purpose of collecting information) for the enterprise	330
<b>5.11</b> Article 5(4)(e) : Maintenance of a fixed place of business solely for the purpose of carrying on, for the enterprise, any other activity of a preparatory or auxiliary character	343
<b>5.11.1</b> Applicability in case of 'preparatory or auxiliary' activities	343
<b>5.11.2</b> Could a PE-exclusion provision apply to a project office?	357
<b>5.11.3</b> Representative/Liaison Office	359
<b>5.12</b> Article 5(4)(f) : The maintenance of a fixed place of business solely for any combination of activities mentioned in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of Article 5(4) of the OECD 2010 Model Convention, provided that the overall activity of the fixed place of business resulting from this combination is of a preparatory or auxiliary character	365
<b>5.13</b> Conclusion	366

**6****DEPENDENT AGENCY PERMANENT  
ESTABLISHMENT**

<b>6.1</b>	Introduction and significance	367
<b>6.2</b>	Dependent agency PE under the OECD Model	367
<b>6.3</b>	Position in bilateral Tax Treaties	369
<b>6.4</b>	Dependent Agency - From the perspective of the agent	369
<b>6.5</b>	Pre-requisites for dependent agency PE	371
<b>6.5.1</b>	Agent acts in the source state, on behalf of the foreign enterprise	371
<b>6.5.2</b>	Authority to conclude contracts	380
<b>6.5.2.1</b>	The agent must have an authority to conclude contracts	380
<b>6.5.2.2</b>	The agent may have implied authority to conclude contracts	398
<b>6.5.2.3</b>	Implications in case of “commissionaire” arrangements	406
<b>6.5.3</b>	Legal and/or economic dependence	410
<b>6.5.4</b>	Habitual exercise of the authority	412
<b>6.5.5</b>	Mere participation/attendance in the negotiations is not adequate	414
<b>6.6</b>	Independent Agent	415
<b>6.6.1</b>	Provisions in the model conventions	415
<b>6.6.2</b>	Legal and Economic Independence	416
<b>6.6.3</b>	Ordinary Course of Business	426
<b>6.7</b>	Can Foreign Institutional Investors (FIIs) be regarded as having dependent agency PE on account of services of brokers and custodians?	434
<b>6.8</b>	Conclusions	437
<b>Annex 6.1</b>	Dependent Agency PE provisions in Indian tax treaties	440

**7****PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT THROUGH  
RELATED ENTITIES**

<b>7.1</b>	Introduction and significance	444
<b>7.2</b>	Article 5(7) in OECD MC 2010 and Article 5(8) of the UN MC 2011	444

## CONTENTS

**I-10**

		PAGE
<b>7.3</b>	The objective behind Article 5(7) in OECD MC 2010, Article 5(8) of the UN MC 2011 and similar provisions in bilateral tax treaties	445
<b>7.4</b>	A foreign enterprise may have a fixed place PE (in the premises of a subsidiary or related entity in a source state)	448
<b>7.5</b>	A foreign enterprise may have a dependent agency PE through a subsidiary in the source state	451
<b>7.6</b>	Could a subsidiary's independent (legal) status be disregarded for determining whether a foreign enterprise has a PE in a source state?	452
<b>7.7</b>	Conclusion	455

## APPENDIX ONE : INDIAN COURTS CASES

♦	Amadeus Global Travel Distribution S.A. v. Deputy Commissioner of Income-tax, Non-resident Circle [2011] 11 taxmann.com 153 (Delhi)	457
♦	Galileo International Inc. v. Deputy Commissioner of Income-tax, Non-Resident Circle, New Delhi [2008] 19 SOT 257 (Delhi)	468
♦	Western Union Financial Services Inc. v. Assistant Director of Income-tax, Circle 2(1), International Taxation [2007] 104 ITD 34 (Delhi)	482
♦	Seagate Singapore International Headquarters (P.) Ltd., <i>In re</i> [2010] 189 Taxman 181 (AAR - New Delhi)	491
♦	Golf in Dubai, <i>In re</i> [2008] 174 Taxman 480 (AAR - New Delhi)	494
♦	Fugro Engineers B.V. v. Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax (OSD), Range-I, Dehradun [2008] 26 SOT 78 (Delhi)	499
♦	Motorola Inc. v. Deputy Commissioner of Income-tax, Non-Resident Circle [2005] 95 ITD 269 (Delhi)(SB)	502
♦	Van Oord Atlanta B.V. v. Assistant Director of Income-tax [2008] 23 SOT 29 (Kol.)(URO)	536
♦	Deputy Director of Income-tax (International Taxation) v. Dharti Dredging & Infrastructural Ltd. [2011] 44 SOT 586 (Hyd.)	539
♦	Deputy Commissioner of Income-tax, Non-resident Circle, New Delhi v. Perfetti SPA [2008] 19 SOT 433 (Delhi)	543
♦	Deputy Director of Income-tax, (II)-2(1), Mumbai v. Tekmark Global Solutions LLC [2010] 38 SOT 7 (Mum.)	549
♦	Commissioner of Income-tax, Dehradun v. BKI/HAM v.o.f. C/o Arthur Anderson & Co. [2011] 15 taxmann.com 102 (Uttarakhand)	551
♦	Whirlpool India Holdings Ltd. v. Deputy Director of Income-tax (International Taxation), Circle 2(2), New Delhi [2011] 10 taxmann.com 31(Delhi)	553

	PAGE
◆ R&B Falcon Offshore Ltd. v. Additional Commissioner of Income-tax, Range-I, Dehradun [2010] 42 SOT 432 (Delhi )	557
◆ Steel Authority of India Ltd. v. Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Circle 23(2), New Delhi [2007] 105 ITD 679 (Delhi)	559
◆ J. Ray McDermott Eastern Hemisphere Ltd. v. Joint Commissioner of Income-tax-Special Range 21, Mumbai [2010] 39 SOT 240 (Mum.)	562
◆ Assistant Director of Income-tax (International Taxation), Circle-2(2), Mumbai v. Valentine Maritime (Mauritius) Ltd. [2011] 45 SOT 34 (Mum.)	570
◆ Cal Dive Marine Construction (Mauritius) Ltd. v. Director of Income-tax (International Taxation), Chennai [2009] 182 Taxman 124 (AAR - New Delhi)	581
◆ Brown & Root Inc. v. Commissioner of Income-tax [1999] 103 Taxman 515 (AAR - New Delhi)	585
◆ Deputy Director of Income-tax (International Taxation)-2(1) v. Stock Engineer & Contractors B.V. [2009] 27 SOT 452 (Mum.)	588
◆ Pintsch Bamag, <i>In re</i> [2009] 184 Taxman 122 (AAR - New Delhi)	593
◆ Hyosung Corporation v. Director of Income-tax (International Taxation), New Delhi [2009] 181 Taxman 270 (AAR - New Delhi)	598
◆ Sumitomo Corpn. v. Deputy Commissioner of Income-tax, S.R.V., New Delhi [2008] 114 ITD 61 (Delhi)	605
◆ UAE Exchange Centre, <i>In re</i> [2004] 139 Taxman 82 (AAR - New Delhi)	613
◆ Airlines Rotables Ltd. v. Joint Director of Income-tax (International Taxation) [2011] 44 SOT 368 (Mum.)	618
◆ ADIT, Circle 3(2) v. M. Fabrikant & Sons Ltd. [2011] 9 taxmann.com 286 (Mum.)	626
◆ Columbia Sportswear Co., <i>In re</i> [2011] 12 taxmann.com 349 (AAR - New Delhi)	628
◆ Pioneer Overseas Corporation v. Deputy/Assistant Director of Income-tax, Circle-2(1), International Taxation, New Delhi [2010] 37 SOT 404 (Delhi)	637
◆ Morgan Stanley & Co. International Ltd., <i>In re</i> [2005] 142 Taxman 630 (AAR - New Delhi)	652
◆ Deputy Commissioner of Income-tax, (OSD), Range-1, Dehradun v. Hyundai Heavy Industries Co. Ltd. [2009] 31 SOT 482 (Delhi)	658
◆ Van Oord Atlanta B.V. v. Assistant Director of Income-tax [2008] 23 SOT 29 (Kol.)(URO)	662
◆ Tekniskil (Sendirian) Berhad v. Commissioner of Income-tax [1996] 88 Taxman 439 (Delhi)	664
◆ K.T. Corporation, <i>In re</i> [2009] 181 Taxman 94 (AAR - New Delhi)	668
◆ Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax v. DHL Operations B.V. [2005] 142 Taxman 1 (Mum.)(Mag.)	670

## CONTENTS

**I-12**

	PAGE
◆ Laird Technologies India (P.) Ltd., <i>In re</i> [2010] 188 Taxman 304 (AAR - New Delhi)	680
◆ Deputy Director of Income-tax, (International Taxation)-1(2), Mumbai v. Daimler Chrysler A.G. [2010] 39 SOT 418 (Mum.)	688
◆ TVM Limited v. Commissioner of Income-tax [1999] 102 Taxman 578 (AAR - New Delhi)	693
◆ Income-tax Officer (IT) 2(1), Mumbai v. Salil Sevantilal Shah [2011] 45 SOT 64 (Mum.)(URO)	700
◆ Speciality Magazines (P.) Ltd., <i>In re</i> [2005] 144 Taxman 153 (AAR - New Delhi)	702
◆ XYZ/ABC Equity Fund v. Commissioner of Income-tax [2001] 116 Taxman 719 (AAR - New Delhi)	706
◆ Director of Income-tax (International Taxation) v. Morgan Stanley & Co. [2007] 162 Taxman 165 (SC)	714

## APPENDIX TWO : FOREIGN COURTS CASES

◆ Inez de Amodio v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue [2012] 18 taxmann.com 205 (TC - US)	724
◆ Toronto Blue Jays Baseball Club v. The Minister of Finance [2012] 18 taxmann.com 206 (CA - Ontario)	728
◆ Secretary for Inland Revenue v. Downing [2012] 18 taxmann.com 207 (South Africa)	732
◆ Her Majesty The Queen v. William A. Dudney [2012] 18 taxmann.com 208 (FC - Canada)	739
◆ Joseph Fowler v. Minister of National Revenue [2012] 18 taxmann.com 209 (TC - Canada)	743
◆ Elizabeth Herbert v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue [2012] 18 taxmann.com 210 (TC - USA)	746
◆ Knights of Columbus v. Her Majesty the Queen [2012] 18 taxmann.com 211 (TC - Canada)	748
◆ National Westminster Bank, PLC v. The United States [2012] 18 taxmann.com 212 (CFC - USA)	758
◆ Sunbeam Corporation (Canada) Ltd. v. Minister of National Revenue [2012] 18 taxmann.com 213 (SC - Canada)	767
◆ Taisei Fire & Marine Ins. Co., Ltd., et al v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue [2012] 18 taxmann.com 214 (TC - US)	771
◆ Minister of National Revenue v. Tara Exploration and Development Co. Ltd. [2012] 18 taxmann.com 215 (SC - Canada)	777
◆ American Income Life Insurance Company v. Her Majesty the Queen [2012] 18 taxmann.com 204 (TC - Canada)	778
◆ Dell Products v. State [2011] 16 taxmann.com 414 (SC - Norway)	787